Electoral communications escalation scenarios

1	Description Examples	infringed/issues
	Paid electoral advertisement without proper authorisation (for other unpaid communication s see scenario 7) Electoral ad that is not authorised or is authorised by fictitious person/entity.	Section 321D Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 Paid electoral advertising must be authorised to allow voters to know who is communicating the ad.
	Electoral communication (including a paid electoral ad) that infringes other offences in the Commonwealt h Electoral Act Electoral communication misleads voters on how to cast their votes, e.g. ad incorrectly advises voters a candidate has withdrawn from the election, or that a formal vote is to number just one box.	Section 329 Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 Offence to publish or distribute any matter that is likely to mislead or deceive an elector in relation to the casting of a vote.

				l					
_	3	Electoral	Electoral	Part 7.8 – Section 150.1					
		communication	communication on	False representations in					
		(including a paid electoral	social media by a person/entity falsely	relation to a Commonwealth body					
		ad) that	representing themselves to be a	and injunction provisions in Part 7					
		Commonwealt	Commonwealth	Regulatory Powers					
		h official, entity or service	entity, official or service.	(Standard Provisions) Act 2014					
	4	Electoral communication	Electoral communication made	Foreign Influence Transparency Scheme					
		(including a	by or on behalf of	Act 2018					
		paid electoral ad) posted by a	foreign person or entity that is	An intermediary for a					
		foreign	registrable under the	foreign principal must					
		person/entity	Foreign Influence Transparency Scheme	register within 14 days of entering a					
			Act 2018 (FITS Act).	relationship with a foreign principal or					
				undertaking an activity					
				on behalf of a foreign principal.					
				If the communication is					
				a paid ad that is					
					Prenar	ed by the EIAT. 29 October 202	01	2	

Electoral communications escalation scenarios

Policy: Social media accounts This scenario This scena					Electoral communications escalation scenarios
Interference This scenario notes the difference between the legislated and policy definitions of "foreign interference", and the differing approaches taken by policy apencies (CPCC), and operational agencies/bodie (CPICC), and operational agencies/bodie (SPICC), and operational agencie				refer to scenario 1 for	
	5	interference This scenario notes the difference between the legislated and policy definitions of "foreign interference", and the differing approaches taken by policy agencies (CFICC), and operational agencies/bodie s (including the	Social media accounts that appear to: • amplify, then consistently promote divisive political positions designed to fragment the electorate, • target specific demographics within the electorate with messaging designed to influence voting behaviour. Operational: To be actionable under s92, FI activity must meet the elements specified in s92, notably: 1. Conduct; 2. done on half of/directed by a Foreign Principal; 3. to achieve one of the four stated intentions; 4. done covertly/deceptively/	FI is likely to be a break of platforms' own terms of use Operational: Division 92, Part 5.2 Criminal Code Act 1995 Detection and attribution can be time and resource intensive, while disinformation strategies	

			1	Electoral communications escalation scenarios
6	Electoral communication containing threats of terrorist action	Electoral communication threatening terrorist action against polling booths and/or voters.	Anti-terrorism laws and powers activated.	
7	Anonymous electoral communication (other than a paid advertisement) without authorisation	Anonymous communication promoting informal voting	Depends on who is communicating the matter as to whether the communication must be authorised under the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918. An electoral communication must be authorised if it is for, or on behalf of, a disclosure entity (i.e. a candidate, political party or other person or group that is required to report electoral expenditure or donations to the Electoral Commission under Part XX of the Electoral Act).	

Electoral communications escalation scenarios

	Electoral communication (including a paid electoral ad) that is defamatory	Third party authorises a paid advertisement that defames a candidate so as to affect public perception of the integrity of the candidate, party, election or political system.	Affected individual or organisation can take legal action, however, issue may be to stop the spread of disinformation during an election campaign.
_	9 Electoral communication (including a paid electoral ad) brings a Commonwealt h agency into disrepute	Third party authorises a paid advertisement that defames a Commonwealth agency or representative so as to affect public perception of the integrity of the electoral system.	Affected individual or agency can take legal action, however, issue may be to stop the spread of disinformation during an election campaign.

10	Electoral	Electoral	Vilification or freedom
	communication (including a paid electoral ad) containing hate speech	communication on social media vilifying a person or group of people to influence voters.	of expression on the basis of race, religion, nationality, national or ethnic origin or political opinion. Some forms of online abuse may meet the threshold of cyberbullying or adult cyber abuse in the Online Safety Act 2021 and be subject to removal notices issued by the eSafety Commissioner.
11	Any other electoral communication that may not breach a Commonwealt h law, but which may breach Platform policy	Video on social media promoting informal voting that provides incorrect information on the consequence of voting informally.	Other communications not covered by scenarios 7-9, where no Commonwealth law may have been infringed, but the communication nevertheless is spam or false news.